

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/629,474	474 07/31/2000		Douglas E. Duschatko	M-8339 US	4017
33031	7590	10/18/2004		EXAM	INER
CAMPBELL STEPHENSON ASCOLESE, LLP				TRAN, THIEN D	
4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD. BLDG. 4, SUITE 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTRI TY 78750				2665	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/629,474	DUSCHATKO ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thien D Tran	2665					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe							
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION OF THE APPLICATION IN CONDITION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE AP	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.  ation. A proper reply to a  n places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17 (a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-6,19-23,25-29,31-35,50,60,70 and 80</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,7-18,24,30,36-49,51-59,61-69,71-79 and 81-85</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							
	•	STEVEN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that Azaren fails to disclose determining if a second plurality of words should included in the generation of a backplane parity value by determining if the second plurality of words is the relock work. However, Examiner respectfully disagrees with the argumen because Azaren discloses that the OCON is able to output (generate) parity bit values only when it can determine the synchronization bits (determining if a word is a relock word or synchronized word), col.10 lines 10-25.

Applicant argues that Azaren fails to disclose the limitaion "each of said second plurality of words, if said each of said second plurality of words is said relock word". However, Examiner respectfully disagrees with the argument because Azaren discloses data frames received by the OCON having bits for synchronization (plurality of relock words), figure 3.